THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

-

WRIT PETITION No.10999 of 2005

08.08.2005

Sri Bonnala Krishna Rao.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Revenue Divisional Officer, Sanga Reddy Medak District & others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 10999 of 2005

ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

The case of the petitioner is that he has succeeded in a Land Grabbing case. The judgment of the Land Grabbing Court became final when the High Court dismissed the writ petition filed against the said judgment. Therefore, he moved an application in I.A. SR. No. 1114 of 2003 before the Special Tribunal seeking initiation of action against the respondents for not handing over possession. There is a full-fledged method laid down under Rule 15 of the A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Rules, 1988(for short 'the Rules') framed under the A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982 (for short 'the Act') and the orders of the Land Grabbing Court have to be executed in terms of Rule 15 of the Rules.

It appears that when action was being taken, the respondents filed Writ Petition, being Writ Petition No. 1735 of 2005 on 3.2.2005 in which notice was issued and interim stay was granted. In the writ petition, the notice in Form V issued by the Land

Grabbing Court was challenged. The stay appears to have been vacated on 22.2.2005. Thereafter, the matter is again pending before the Land Grabbing Court. As on today, the matter appears to be pending before the Land Grabbing Court. The case was listed before the Land Grabbing Court on 26.4.2005 according to the writ petitioner and it was adjourned. We do not know to what date it has been adjourned now. Since the matter of execution is pending before the Land Grabbing Court, we do not think that this writ petition is at all maintainable. The petitioner has sufficient remedies available for getting the order of the Court executed through the Land Grabbing Court.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

BILAL NAZKI, ACJ

DATE: 8th August, 2005

_____

G. CHANDRAIAH,J

pnb